STATE OF LOUISIANA

LOUISIANA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

BEING HELD ON THURSDAY, JULY 14, 2022

AT THE LASALLE BUILDING

617 North Third Street, FLOOR 1, LABELLE ROOM

Baton Rouge, Louisiana

REPORTED BY: KELLY S. PERRIN, C.C.R.

COURT REPORTERS OF LOUISIANA, LLC

9522 BROOKLINE AVENUE, SUITE 217

BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70809

PHONE: (225) 201-9650 * FAX: (225) 201-9651

E-MAIL: depos@courtreportersla.com

| | | | 1 agc 2 |
|----|------------------------|------|---------|
| 1 | INDEX | | |
| 2 | | PAGE | |
| 3 | CAPTION | 1 | |
| 4 | APPEARANCES | 3,4 | |
| 5 | PROCEEDINGS | 5 | |
| 6 | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE | 77 | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES: |
|----|-------------------------|
| 2 | BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN A.J. ROY, III |
| 4 | CHARLES E. JACKSON, III |
| 5 | ANDY L. ADLER |
| 6 | CAL SIMPSON |
| 7 | LOUIS REINE |
| 8 | SECRETARY DON PIERSON |
| 9 | OLUWASUYI E. GEORGEWILL |
| 10 | STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT: |
| 11 | LAURA WOMACK |
| 12 | SUSAN BIGNER |
| 13 | ROBIN PORTER |
| 14 | MARISSA DOIN |
| 15 | SHAMELDA PETE |
| 16 | CRYSTAL DALGO |
| 17 | MOLLY HENDRICKS |
| 18 | KELLY A. RANEY |
| 19 | BRENDA GUESS |
| 20 | DEBORAH SIMMONS |
| 21 | TEDRA CHEATHAM |
| 22 | OLEVIA SHARBAUGH |
| 23 | GRIFFIN LANDRY |
| 24 | MAKESHA JUDSON |
| 25 | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES CONTINUED: |
|----|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | SPEAKERS FROM THE AUDIENCE: |
| 3 | ROBERT OWENS, CIO, FOCUS FOODS |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | REPORTED BY: KELLY S. PERRIN, CCR |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN ROY: (BEGINNING AT 9:30 A.M.) |
| 3 | Good morning. Call to order the Board of |
| 4 | Directors Louisiana Economic Development |
| 5 | Corporation. Roll call, please? |
| 6 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 7 | Good morning, everyone. |
| 8 | A.J. Roy? |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 10 | Here. |
| 11 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 12 | Louis Reine? |
| 13 | MR. REINE: |
| 14 | Here. |
| 15 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 16 | Cal Simpson? |
| 17 | MR. SIMPSON: |
| 18 | Here. |
| 19 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 20 | Charles Jackson? |
| 21 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 22 | Here. |
| 23 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 24 | Andy Adler? |
| 25 | MR. ADLER: |
| | |

| 1 | Here. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 3 | Norisha Glover? |
| 4 | (Absent.) |
| 5 | Secretary Don Pierson? |
| 6 | SECRETARY PIERSON: |
| 7 | Present. |
| 8 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 9 | Stephen David? |
| 10 | (Absent.) |
| 11 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 12 | Suyi Georgewill? |
| 13 | MR. GEORGEWILL: |
| 14 | Here. |
| 15 | MS. SIMMONS: |
| 16 | We have a quorum. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 18 | Very good. I'll ask everyone to please |
| 19 | silence their devices. The first order of |
| 20 | business is the approval of the various |
| 21 | minutes, first being the Policy Committee |
| 22 | Meeting Minutes of March the 9th. |
| 23 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 24 | Move to approve. |
| 25 | MR. SIMPSON: |
| | |

| 1 | Second. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 3 | Motion for approval as presented. |
| 4 | Second. Any discussion? |
| 5 | Hearing none, all in favor, aye. |
| 6 | (AYES BY ALL.) |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 8 | All opposed, nay? |
| 9 | Without objection. |
| 10 | Next order of business will be the |
| 11 | minutes of the Finance Committee from April |
| 12 | the 14th. |
| 13 | MR. SIMPSON: |
| 14 | Move to approve. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 16 | Motion for approval is presented. |
| 17 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 18 | Second. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 20 | Second. Any discussion? Any comments |
| 21 | from the public? |
| 22 | All in favor, aye? |
| 23 | (AYES BY ALL.) |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 25 | All opposed, nay? |
| | l l |

| 1 | Without objection. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Screening Committee Meeting Minutes of |
| 3 | the April the 14th. |
| 4 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 5 | Move to approve. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 7 | Motion for approval is presented. |
| 8 | MR. SIMPSON: |
| 9 | Second. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 11 | Second. Discussion? Any comments from |
| 12 | the public? |
| 13 | Hearing none, all in favor, aye? |
| 14 | (AYES BY ALL.) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 16 | All opposed, nay? Without objection. |
| 17 | Finally, the Board Meeting Minutes of May |
| 18 | the 12th? |
| 19 | MR. SIMPSON: |
| 20 | Move to approve. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 22 | Motion for approval is presented. |
| 23 | MR. ADLER: |
| 24 | Second. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| | |

| 1 | Seconded. Any discussion? Any comments |
|----|--|
| 2 | from the public? |
| 3 | Hearing none, all in favor, aye? |
| 4 | (AYES BY ALL.) |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 6 | All opposed, nay? |
| 7 | Without objection. Thank you very much. |
| 8 | MR. REINE: |
| 9 | Mr. Chairman, I don't necessarily have |
| 10 | the copy of the minutes, but I think it was |
| 11 | the May meeting when we talked about the Small |
| 12 | Business Loan Program with the \$50,000 and |
| 13 | such. What did we call that with the |
| 14 | revolving? We were willing to give the banks |
| 15 | the 50,000, they were going to loan it to |
| 16 | Small Business |
| 17 | MS. GUESS: |
| 18 | Oh, micro loaning? |
| 19 | MR. REINE: |
| 20 | and when they got paid back, they keep |
| 21 | the money, whatever? |
| 22 | MS. GUESS: |
| 23 | Our micro loaning Program. |
| 24 | MR. REINE: |
| 25 | Okay. Today, or at a future meeting, |
| | |

| 1 | because it's really kind of stuck with me, |
|----|--|
| 2 | have some conversation about, is there some |
| 3 | kinds of limits on the fees the bank can |
| 4 | charge the business or the interest rate based |
| 5 | on the risk of a guaranteed loan? At some |
| 6 | point, I'd like to talk about that. So |
| 7 | whenever you think it is appropriate; and if |
| 8 | not, put it on the next agenda? |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| LO | We will make a point of |
| L1 | MS. RANEY: |
| L2 | We will have an SSBCI update in the Other |
| L3 | Business section and I can address that at |
| L4 | that time, if that's okay? |
| L5 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| L6 | Very good. And if we need to bring it up |
| L7 | at the Policy Committee or else, we certainly |
| L8 | will, Mr. Reine. |
| L9 | All right. My pleasure today to |
| 20 | introduce Mr. Suyi Georgewill to my left. He |
| 21 | hails from the Shreveport/Bossier area. |
| 22 | Welcome to the Board. He represents the |
| 23 | service industry, I believe. |
| 24 | MR. GEORGEWILL: |
| 25 | Yes, that's correct. Thank you. |

1 CHAIRMAN ROY: And perhaps you would like to say a few 3 words about yourself? 4 MR. GEORGEWILL: 5 Yeah, absolutely. My name is Suyi 6 Georgewill. I work with Cintas Corporation in 7 Bossier City. I get to run from northwest 8 Louisiana, east Texas, on to south Arkansas markets. 9 10 Is that better? Sorry. 11 Yeah, Suyi Georgewill. I work with the 12 Cintas Corporation. I get to facilitate 13 business transactions throughout northwest 14 Louisiana, south Arkansas, and east Texas. Wе 15 work with a lot of industries and it's great 16 to be a part of an organization that supports 17 the health and incoming progress with 18 businesses throughout our state. So I look 19 forward to dialogues creating progressive 20 impacts for our businesses. 21 CHAIRMAN ROY: 22 Thank you. Glad you've joined us. 23 MR. GEORGEWILL: 24 Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN ROY:

All right. Next order of business is the EDAP -- under the EDAP program, TDP Group doing business as Focus Foods. Ms. Womack, good morning.

MS. WOMACK:

1

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good morning. My name is Laura Womack. I'm here representing staff. I also have Mr. Robert Owens, who is a part of Focus Foods as the Chief Innovation Officer. The TDP Group doing business as Focus Foods is a manufacturer and leading provider of innovative meal solutions. What initially began as a network of formerly skilled and talented food truck owners has emerged into a manufacturing operation that produces easy to prepare frozen meals. The meals they produce meet the USDA and State Health Department nutrition guidelines utilizing in-house chefs to craft delicious and well-balanced meals.

Since the creation of the company, they
have provided over 25 million meals to
schools, households, care facilities, and
disaster areas across the Gulf South region.
To further meet the needs of our senior
population, Focus provides meal solution needs

for partners engaged in Meals on Wheels, senior citizen operators, nursing home operators, assistant living facilities, as well as retirement communities. At the onset of the pandemic, Focus prioritized providing meals to elementary and high school students that had switched to virtual learning in an at-home setting. Focus provides over 40,000 meals weekly and it provides 30,000 meals to K through 12 students across seven parish school districts each week through the USDA's Child and Adult Care Feeding Program.

Since the start, Focus has also been at the forefront of emergency relief providing meal solutions for all major-named storms as well as the flood of 2020. They are able to stage and execute feeding anywhere from 100 to 10,000 in a matter of days offering hot meal, family-style food truck, frozen or shelf-stable options to help suit all needs. They are able to accomplish all this through their unmatched production and logistic systems by using their own fleet of delivery vehicles, and their experienced team of dedicated supervisors and managers.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, they operate eight distribution sites and 50 partners sites across 11 parishes, and they also have five pickup sites that are available for drive-through service. Focus is poised to be the market leader for frozen and shelf-stable meal solutions in Louisiana. Focus will be expanding and relocating their corporate headquarters and manufacturing operations from their existing facility that's currently located in Celtic Studios in Baton Rouge to a larger site that was formerly the Valuzzo-McDonald's Distribution Plant, which was recently purchased. This site is roughly three and a half acres with four buildings totaling 75,000 square feet.

And Focus will be adding an additional 15,000 square feet. This will be used as an industrial culinary kitchen and shelf-stable food manufacturing facility. The expansion will allow Focus to broaden the impacts the company has on food and security needs in our area for children and the elderly. They'll also be able to expand their current employee base, triple their food production output, and

allow them to obtain commercial co-packing opportunities. The total costs of the expansion is estimated to be \$8,500,000. This entails the building acquisition, renovations, and improvements, purchase of capital equipment, as well as site improvements. The EDAP funds will be used to specifically reimburse a portion of the site improvements as it relates to an upgraded and new fire sprinkler system.

The company will be retaining 300 existing jobs with an associated annual payroll of \$9,000,000 to be increased two percent annually as well as the creation of 100 new jobs with an associated payroll of \$5,000,000 by 2027. And all jobs and payroll are to be maintained through December 31st, 2027. There's also a total investment requirement of a million dollars to be expended by December 31st, 2023.

East Baton Rouge Parish's unemployment rate was 3.6 as of March 2022 compared to the State rate of 3.8 for the same period. The per capita personal income for East Baton Rouge Parish for 2020 was \$56,484 compared to

a per capita income for the State of \$50,874. Project is estimated to have State revenues of roughly eight and a half million with the company receiving \$100,000 EDAP as well as \$2.6 million from Quality Jobs. So this results in a net revenue of about \$5.8 million for the State.

Staff recommends approval of this project as an unsponsored EDAP with our normal contingencies that are usually in place as well as the retention of the 300 jobs with associated payroll of \$9 million increased at two percent annually, creation of 100 new jobs with an associated payroll of \$5 million by December 31st, 2027, total capital investment of Focus of \$1 million expended by December 31st, 2023. And also, we will be requiring collateral in an amount no less than the EDAP award of \$100,000.

And with that, I'd like to introduce

Mr. Owens and he can give you more information

about the company and this specific project.

MR. OWENS:

Now this isn't my thing. My microphone works. So, essentially, what we're working

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REINE:

towards is working with child nutrition providers across the State, and now we've also began working with child nutrition providers across Arkansas and Mississippi and Texas, and all of which will be the main headquarters and the cooking, everything will be done in-house here in Baton Rouge. And with that, we also are looking for other kitchens around the State to be able to distribute to schools for at-risk communities and working within those communities to not only have -- make an impact for food and security but also financial impact in each community we go to; Baton Rouge being the primary one where we will house the majority of our employees through the facility on Choctaw. CHAIRMAN ROY: Any questions or comments? Mr. Reine? MR. REINE: Yeah. Does the -- what we're looking at is the \$100,000 for a sprinkler system; right? MR. OWENS: Yes, sir.

The staff

Okay. So I'm good with that.

| 1 | might have to explain this, on Page Number 2 |
|----|---|
| 2 | where it says, new payroll, I guess in 2027, |
| 3 | that's \$5,000,000? |
| 4 | MS. GUESS: |
| 5 | That's correct. |
| 6 | MS. WOMACK: |
| 7 | Correct. |
| 8 | MR. REINE: |
| 9 | All right. So in 2023, it's \$11,800,000 |
| 10 | and it gets to 14. All right. So I guess |
| 11 | that's the \$9 million and the \$5 million to |
| 12 | get to the 14; I got that. |
| 13 | All right. Quality Jobs, the 2.6, that's |
| 14 | the rebate that's based on the new payroll of |
| 15 | new employees? |
| 16 | MS. GUESS: |
| 17 | That's correct. |
| 18 | MR. REINE: |
| 19 | And so |
| 20 | MS. GUESS: |
| 21 | It is estimated. |
| 22 | MR. REINE: |
| 23 | Okay. So that means that the new |
| 24 | employees are going to fall in line into the |
| 25 | wage requirements and the benefit package of |
| | |

| 1 | the Quality Jobs? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. GUESS: |
| 3 | That's correct. If employee benefits are |
| 4 | approved, they have to make the submission to |
| 5 | our staff for review which |
| 6 | MR. REINE: |
| 7 | What's the wage on Quality Jobs these |
| 8 | days? They keep changing it, but |
| 9 | MS. GUESS: |
| 10 | I'm not certain. |
| 11 | MR. REINE: |
| 12 | I think \$17 an hour or something like |
| 13 | that? |
| 14 | SECRETARY PIERSON: |
| 15 | Nineteen, I believe. |
| 16 | MR. REINE: |
| 17 | Nineteen dollars an hour? |
| 18 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 19 | Looks like the average by 2027 is going |
| 20 | to be close to 50,000 a job. |
| 21 | MR. REINE: |
| 22 | Okay. Mr. Chairman, at the appropriate |
| 23 | time, I move for the approval. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 25 | Motion for approval as presented. |
| | |

MR. ADLER: 1 I'll second that. 3 CHAIRMAN ROY: 4 Second. 5 Any other questions or any comments? MR. JACKSON: 6 7 What kind of foods do you make? They're 8 all frozen. They're not really MREs or 9 anything like that? 10 MR. OWENS: 11 So we do a lot of emergency feeding where 12 we'll do shelf-stable. So shelf-stable meals 13 will be anywhere from yogurts to cheeses to 14 various proteins that don't spoil at room 15 temperature. And then we also are providing 16 food service management for Baton Rouge 17 Community College and soon to be several other 18 community colleges across the State where 19 we'll be preparing the food in Choctaw, packing it, and then delivering it to be 20 21 heated up inside of the school system for 22 breakfast, lunch. And then we're also working 23 on snack and suppers as well, which will 24 typically be shelf-stable. Breakfast and 25 lunch will be hot, ready-to-eat meals. Some

1 of them will be preheat depending on the situation of the school and their kitchen. Some of them will be prepared or finished 3 4 preparing in the kitchens themselves. 5 MR. JACKSON: 6 So are you shifting from frozen meals or 7 are you --8 MR. OWENS: 9 No, not at all. 10 MR. JACKSON: 11 You're doing both? 12 MR. OWENS: 13 We're doing both, correct. Frozen meals 14 is a portion of the overall scope where we 15 can -- over the last several weeks, we've looked at the schools that we've been awarded 16 17 the operation with them. And in a lot of 18 those cases, we can keep the meals fresh 19 rather than having to freeze them first. So 20 we're still preparing the same meal, but 21 rather than freezing and then thawing them out 22 and then reheating them for the kids, we're 23 actually able to keep them fresh the whole way 24 through our own logistics channel that we own.

So it's kind of make sure that the flavor

25

| 1 | profile that they get is at the best that we |
|----|---|
| 2 | can possibly provide it for their system. |
| 3 | MR. REINE: |
| 4 | Weren't y'all providing the boxes of food |
| 5 | for students out of school during COVID? |
| 6 | MR. OWENS: |
| 7 | We have been, yes, and we still provide |
| 8 | those. |
| 9 | MR. REINE: |
| 10 | That's fine stuff. They've got milk that |
| 11 | last for, like, a year. |
| 12 | MR. OWENS: |
| 13 | Yeah, shelf-stable milk. |
| 14 | MR. REINE: |
| 15 | You don't have to refrigerate it. |
| 16 | MR. OWENS: |
| 17 | I can make sure everybody here gets a |
| 18 | carton of shelf-stable milk. |
| 19 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 20 | And this is your only commissary right |
| 21 | now? Are you looking at expanding in the |
| 22 | future or just having, I guess, warehouses in |
| 23 | the north? |
| 24 | MR. OWENS: |
| 25 | No. We've been looking at property in |
| | |

East Carroll and Lake Providence as secondary Based on the shape of the building that it's in, we really won't start looking at that until around March of next year. That's the soonest the government portion of their and us could come to an agreement to begin the process of repairing the building because it's very close to being condemned. And so it's in that state and we want to repair it. We want to make -- we made commitments to make a financial impact up there. And so in order to keep the commitment, we're working with the City and the State system up there to have a meeting of the minds for how much each of us are going to put into the building and go from there.

MR. JACKSON:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And this piece of the business, I guess, is very strongly dependent on the federal feeding subsidies or programs?

MR. OWENS:

So we operate under the CACFP, which is the Child Affordable Food Program -- Child and Adult Food Program. And what -- what -- that's been in existence since the early

1980s, so we don't see that as going away. As a matter of fact, we just received 40 cents more for per meal from the Government based on their last program incentive release that they released, I think it was two weeks ago.

So, yes, we are relying on that; but at the same time, it's a very long running program that I don't see going away because food insecurities aren't going away.

MR. JACKSON:

Right.

CHAIRMAN ROY:

I would imagine there's a huge need perhaps beyond what you can supply in the State. Is there anyone else that's in the business that produces to the level you do? MR. OWENS:

In the State, no. We're the largest in the State, one of the largest in the south.

And there were two other vendors, Revolution Foods and Preferred Vendors have both closed up shop due to breaking rules with the USDA and various other accounting principles. So we make sure we monitor our books and our regulations with the USDA and the FDA pretty

closely.

So we had other competitors. Those have since created a vacuum. And for now, I mean, we are literally fielding RFPs that aren't going to get awarded for a week and a half that have to start two weeks after we're awarded. So we're looking at between now and the middle of August being awarded another 10 to \$15 million worth of business that we have to start up within a week and a half. So we're working hard right now to make sure that we can eliminate the void that exist so that all of our kids can get food.

CHAIRMAN ROY:

Do you anticipate that this new facility will allow you to supply the demand --

MR. OWENS:

Yes.

CHAIRMAN ROY:

-- in the next few years?

MR. OWENS:

Yes. So we're putting in, just in kitchen equipment is going to be about \$1.4 million in kitchen equipment. We're anticipating somewhere in the neighborhood of

being able to produce just in there around 40,000 meals a day out of that kitchen. It's going to be one of the most cutting edge largest kitchens that we have in the State. And it's simply right now 80 percent of our business is food and security and meeting child nutrition and FDA guidelines.

And then we do envision -- we have, as a matter of fact right now, we have a relationship with the major grocery store chain where we will be co-packing, which means we'll be cooking their alfredo sauce, their pastalayas, their various other things that are served as from their deli. We'll be doing that as well to help balance out our Government reliance with private income as well.

MR. REINE:

Mr. Chairman, just for the record, because that was an interesting conversation, but this money will provide a safer workplace for their employees --

MR. OWENS:

Correct.

25 MR. REINE:

-- by the fire protection that it will 1 2 provide. So I just wanted to note, this is --3 we're helping make sure that those employes 4 have a safer work environment. 5 MR. OWENS: Absolutely. 6 7 MR. JACKSON: I -- it's -- by the way, I move approval, 8 9 but this is pretty cut and dry and high. I'd 10 love to know a good bit more about your story 11 12 MR. OWENS: 13 Okay. 14 MR. JACKSON: 15 -- than just a word because it's 16 interesting to move from a group of food 17 trucks to what you've accomplished. How long has that taken and kind of how did that roll 18 19 out? 20 MR. OWENS: 21 It's been two and a half years of, 22 basically, oh, my God, this just happened. 23 We -- it was started by Jeff Landry and John 24 Hanks; both were food truck owners. Landry, being the principal owner now. 25 John

has since been bought out. But Jeff started out as a food truck owner and he happened to have an opportunity to serve a couple of thousand of meals out of his food truck for emergency feeding for the hurricane that came through. And then COVID happened right around the same time that he was wrapping up the emergency feeding. So it just kind of snowballed from there to where, within 30 days, they wound up hiring like 500 people just to hurry up and produce shelf-stable meals and cooked meals, and nothing short of miraculous.

MR. JACKSON:

Right place at the right time.

MR. OWENS:

The right place, right time, and the right skill set. And then Jeff has brought on guys like myself who have an extensive business background with, you know, multi 40-, 50-, 60-million-dollar a year business entity so that we could help him get the operations in line with the rapid growth. And so we've really worked on that through the summer and have a great qualified team now that's

1 well-balanced from the entrepreneurial side to the guys that know how to run a business and make sure it's effective and has a long future 3 4 to where the money doesn't go away rapidly. 5 But I'm on the latter side of coming in, 6 but what they've done is really an amazing And it all really boiled down to the 7 right place, the right time, and the right 8 9 reaction. And it's been crazy. 10 MR. JACKSON: 11 Any time we can foster an 12 entrepreneurship and help a real problem, 13 which food and security in Louisiana is half 14 of the time. 15 CHAIRMAN ROY: 16 I guess Jeff Landry on his off time 17 happens to be the Attorney General? MR. OWENS: 18 19 Not that Jeff Landry. 20 MS. WOMACK: 21 No, it's a different Jeff Landry. 22 MR. OWENS: 23 Not that Jeff Landry. 24 CHAIRMAN ROY: 25 Call to question. And so, all in favor,

| 1 | aye? |
|----|--|
| 2 | (AYES BY ALL.) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 4 | All opposed, nay? |
| 5 | Without objection. Congratulations. |
| 6 | MR. OWENS: |
| 7 | Thank you. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 9 | And please keep us posted. We're most |
| 10 | interested in your success. |
| 11 | MR. OWENS: |
| 12 | Thank you. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 14 | You're welcome. |
| 15 | All right. Our next order of business is |
| 16 | the Treasurer's Report. |
| 17 | MS. GUESS: |
| 18 | I'll be doing that this morning. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 20 | Okay. Ms. Brenda? |
| 21 | MS. GUESS: |
| 22 | Yes. Good morning. The Secretary |
| 23 | Treasurer's Report, as of June 29th for Fiscal |
| 24 | Year '22, as you see on Page 1, we have our |
| 25 | outline for our budget for the financial |
| | , the state of the |

| 1 | assistance program, our Capital Outlay for |
|----|---|
| 2 | EDAP and for EDRED, which gives us a total of |
| 3 | \$19,037,302. The expended the approved |
| 4 | expended expenditures, the only item listed is |
| 5 | one for our EDAP, and then I will do a |
| 6 | breakdown on the other subsequent pages, which |
| 7 | gives us a balance of those items of |
| 8 | \$12,607,102, this morning's EDAP of \$100,000, |
| 9 | and we anticipate a balance for right now as |
| 10 | of \$12,507,102. There's a total of \$3,125,000 |
| 11 | in EDAP projects that are under review, which |
| 12 | totaled with the remaining other balances |
| 13 | pulled over of a projected year-end balance of |
| 14 | \$9,382,102. |
| 15 | On Page 2, you'll see |
| 16 | MR. REINE: |
| 17 | Let me ask you a question. So that's |
| 18 | through June 29th, so that's like the end of |
| 19 | the accounting year for June 30th? |
| 20 | MS. GUESS: |
| 21 | No, that's through June 29th. I think |
| 22 | MR. REINE: |
| 23 | Okay. |
| 24 | MS. GUESS: |
| 25 | I'm sorry? |
| | |

| _ | |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. REINE: |
| 2 | But we go July 1st through June 30th? |
| 3 | MS. GUESS: |
| 4 | That's correct. |
| 5 | MR. REINE: |
| 6 | So the balance rolls over? |
| 7 | MS. GUESS: |
| 8 | The balances |
| 9 | MR. REINE: |
| 10 | Roll over to the new year |
| 11 | MS. GUESS: |
| 12 | in the new year. |
| 13 | MR. REINE: |
| 14 | and the budget year? |
| 15 | MS. GUESS: |
| 16 | That's correct. And you'll see on the |
| 17 | next report that we'll have for next month's |
| 18 | meeting, the report you'll see from will be |
| 19 | reflecting June 1st. Molly, you want to ask |
| 20 | the thing? We are having year-end analysis |
| 21 | will be reflected at that time. |
| 22 | MS. HENDRICKS: |
| 23 | The next meeting won't be until August |
| 24 | MS. GUESS: |
| 25 | That's correct. |
| | l l |

| 1 | MS. HENDRICKS: |
|----|--|
| 2 | 14th? |
| 3 | MS. GUESS: |
| 4 | Yes. |
| 5 | MS. HENDRICKS: |
| 6 | We'll probably just be getting our final |
| 7 | numbers, because it will be around the 13th |
| 8 | period right now, and that doesn't close until |
| 9 | after August 14th. |
| 10 | MS. GUESS: |
| 11 | Right, so we may not see that then. |
| 12 | MS. HENDRICKS: |
| 13 | It might not be |
| 14 | MS. GUESS: |
| 15 | Okay. Right. |
| 16 | MS. HENDRICKS: |
| 17 | the exact figures. |
| 18 | MR. REINE: |
| 19 | So but we're somewhere in that |
| 20 | neighborhood of puts it at \$9.3 million? |
| 21 | MS. HENDRICKS: |
| 22 | Correct. |
| 23 | MR. REINE: |
| 24 | And then been barring some great |
| 25 | expenditures, it appears that between 29th and |
| | |

1 the 30th. And then how much money is appropriated for the '22/'23 year? Come on, 3 y'all read the budget. 4 MS. GUESS: 5 I'm not -- yeah, I'm not the budget 6 person. However --7 MR. REINE: 8 So whatever is appropriated will be added to this and available? 9 10 MS. GUESS: 11 It's a breakdown on Page 4 under General 12 Appropriation is what we have actually 13 appropriated for our programs. Overall, we 14 weren't anticipated what we -- what's 15 projected for the end of the calendar year, 16 the Fiscal Year of 2022. 17 MR. REINE: 18 My Page 4 is blank. 19 MS. GUESS: On the back side of it? 20 I think that 21 what we have here is that everything is 22 projected through the end for the Fiscal Year 23 '21/'22. And I think because of the 24 closeouts, it still has been projected for what the items will be for '22/'23. That's 25

still -- that will be a closeout that will 1 take place, as Molly said, at the end of 3 the -- the closing out of the fiscal year that 4 will actually show what we will have for the 5 upcoming fiscal year. 6 MR. REINE: 7 So that Line 48 is the fund balance, but it's projected '21/'22, so which projected 8 into June the 30th, the balance will be 9 10 \$17.9 million? 11 MS. GUESS: 12 It should remain the same provided there 13 are no other expenses that --14 MR. REINE: 15 But we're in July and effective July the 16 1st, we will have appropriated more money; 17 correct? 18 MS. HENDRICKS: 19 Correct, but the budget for the '21/'22, 20 we're still getting invoices and processing 21 those through the 13th period. I think 22 they're factored in there though with the 23 projected balances. 24 MS. GUESS: 25 They probably are.

| 1 | MR. REINE: |
|----|--|
| 2 | So the appropriated money from the |
| 3 | Legislature is figured into this number for |
| 4 | '22/'23? |
| 5 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 6 | No, I don't think these are reflected in |
| 7 | this reporting at all because this is prior to |
| 8 | this meeting. |
| 9 | MR. REINE: |
| 10 | So the balance, the 17.9? |
| 11 | MS. GUESS: |
| 12 | The 17.9 is a projected yearend balance. |
| 13 | MR. REINE: |
| 14 | At June 30th? |
| 15 | MS. GUESS: |
| 16 | By June |
| 17 | MR. REINE: |
| 18 | Since we're in July and we should know |
| 19 | what we're looking at that's available for the |
| 20 | coming year that we're in, which is July 1st, |
| 21 | 2022 through June 30th, '23; correct? |
| 22 | MS. GUESS: |
| 23 | It would be |
| 24 | MR. REINE: |
| 25 | I'm just looking to see how much money |
| | |

```
1
         we've got.
 2
         MS. GUESS:
 3
              It's --
 4
         SECRETARY PIERSON:
 5
              It's a little bit of a floating figure as
 6
         you've crossed the line of on July, the
 7
         invoices that they're in the process of
 8
         paying, make sure that they were an applicable
         invoice to close it out. So that's why you
 9
10
         get a projected number. Over the next 30, 60
11
         days, it will become final in this analysis.
12
         And then, I think you're talking about what
13
         the potential allocation of additional funding
14
         that was put into the FY '23 budget.
15
         MR. REINE:
16
              FY '22/'23.
17
         MR. JACKSON:
18
              We don't have that presented. We don't
19
         have --
20
         MS. GUESS:
21
              It's --
22
         MR. JACKSON:
23
              -- that year budget presented?
24
         MS. GUESS:
25
              Not at this time.
```

1 MR. REINE: 2 So y'all don't have any idea what that 3 number is? 4 MS. GUESS: 5 No, sir, I do not. 6 MR. REINE: 7 Okay. MS. GUESS: 8 The Secretary Treasurer of LEDC will have 9 10 that information at the next meeting. 11 the budget lady. 12 So with that, on Page -- back to Page 3, 13 we have our Capital Outlay for our Economic 14 Developmental Award Program. You'll see the 15 budget for this year was \$16,411,340. 16 projects that have already been approved and 17 projected expenditures provided they are 18 closed is \$6,430,200. The item that we 19 approved -- that was approved today by the 20 Board leaves us a balance of 9.8 in that 21 balance. Currently, there's 3,125,000 in EDAP 22 projects that are currently under review which 23 were not at the application stage as of yet. 24 And that balance will give us a projected

yearend balance provided none of those come to

25

1 fruition, it will be \$6,756,140. 2 The EDRED budget for '21/'22 was 3 projected at -- I'm sorry. The balance 4 remaining in that is \$2,435,962. That 5 anticipated any closures for that part. So 6 that gives us our total Capital Outlay of 9.1 7 -- \$9,192,102 based on those items listed 8 above. 9 And I'm not going to even attempt to go 10 through Page 4 because that is more in the 11 weeds of what the Secretary Treasurer handles 12 on a day-to-day basis. I'm just giving the 13 report today. So if there are any questions, 14 I will attempt to answer them, but I'm going 15 to defer those to next -- to Ms. Villa upon 16 her return. 17 CHAIRMAN ROY: 18 Very good. Any other questions, comment? 19 MR. REINE: 20 I will move to accept the report. 21 CHAIRMAN ROY: 22 Motion for approval is presented. 23 MR. SIMPSON: 24 Second. 25 CHAIRMAN ROY:

| 1 | Second. Any other questions, comments? |
|----|---|
| 2 | Comments from the public? |
| 3 | Hearing none, all in favor, aye? |
| 4 | (AYES BY ALL.) |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 6 | All opposed, nay? |
| 7 | It is approved. I was just noticing the |
| 8 | EDRED. Periodically, we have given a report |
| 9 | from the EDRED guy or lady. |
| 10 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 11 | Michael Tepper. |
| 12 | MS. GUESS: |
| 13 | Yes, that is Mr. Michael Tepper. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 15 | I can't remember the last time he's come |
| 16 | to see us, but it's always interesting to |
| 17 | hear. |
| 18 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 19 | That was a couple of months ago. |
| 20 | MS. GUESS: |
| 21 | I think Michael came back in either |
| 22 | February or March, I believe. |
| 23 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 24 | Maybe we can put that on |
| 25 | MS. GUESS: |
| | |

1 Yes. 2 CHAIRMAN ROY: 3 If it's appropriate, you know then --4 MS. GUESS: 5 We keep it in rotation because we know 6 that the EDRED is an item that we like to make 7 sure that stays in front of the Board. got some Legislation that recently passed that 8 would be similar to what we currently offer 9 10 within that EDRED program. So there will be 11 two opportunities for site development, site 12 So Michael will be -- he always selection. 13 looks forward to coming to talk about this 14 program. 15 CHAIRMAN ROY: Good. Next order of business is 16 Okav. 17 the balance report. Ms. Dalgo? Good morning. 18 MS. DALGO: 19 Good morning. I am Crystal Dalgo Hello. 20 and I'll be presenting to you the LEDC 21 Accountant Status Report. First, we have the 22 SSBCI 1.0 Guarantee Loan Portfolio. And as of 23 May 31st, 2022, it consists of 15 loans.

are current. The allowance for the SSBCI 1.0

Portfolio totals \$2,917,941. And all loans

24

25

1 Guaranteed Loan Losses is \$525,229 and is 2. reflected at the current rate of 18 percent. 3 On the next page, we have the EDAP Loan Portfolio. It consists of three loans which 4 5 are the Town of Colfax, City of Bastrop, and 6 Town of Vivian. As of June 29th, 2022, this 7 Portfolio totals \$339,800. And all loans are current. The allowance for the EDAP Loan 8 Losses is \$50,970. And it is reflected at 9 10 15 percent. 11 And on the last page of my report, we 12 have the LEDC Funds Guaranteed Loan Portfolio. 13 It consists of two loans. And as of May 31st, 14 2022, it totaled \$1,097,944. And those loans 15 are current. The allowance for this Portfolio 16 is \$197,630. And it is reflected at the 17 current rate of 18 percent. 18 And that concludes my reports. Are there 19 any questions? 20 MR. JACKSON: 21 Move to accept. 22 CHAIRMAN ROY: 23 Motion to accept. Second? 24 Second by anyone? Any other questions or 25 comments?

1 Hearing none, all in favor, aye? 2 (AYES BY ALL.) 3 CHAIRMAN ROY: 4 All opposed, nay? 5 Any comments from the public? 6 It's approved. Thank you. 7 MS. DALGO: 8 Thank you. CHAIRMAN ROY: 9 10 All right. The President's Report, 11 Secretary Pierson? 12 SECRETARY PIERSON: 13 I'll be brief. We've had an excellent 14 year as we've touched on previously and great 15 announcements here most recently in northwest 16 Louisiana, Teal-Jones a joint venture with a 17 Canadian company and midwest company to 18 establish a \$110 million computerized sawmill 19 there; great shot in the arm for northwest 20 Louisiana. There was the groundbreaking 21 ceremony on Monday with the Governor in 22 attendance and, particularly, adding value to 23 the natural resources in our State as we 24 harvest the timber in that region and turn it 25 into dimensional lumber; and in these new

processes, also capture all the waste material which goes to dry pulp paper operations and also to take the pelletized wood waste for burning. It's a renewal energy resource. So we've seen them, a lot of up-forward progress with that.

I'm thanking Brenda Guess for standing in for our CFO, Undersecretary Anne Villa and working through the complexities of the report today. We want to hear from Kelly as well on her SSBCI update. You heard the SSBCI information from our previous program, but we still are poised to execute a very significant program that Kelly will walk you through here shortly.

Also, Brenda mentioned House Bill 724, which was sponsored by Representative Bagley out of northwest Louisiana that seeks to put funding against continued site improvement opportunities around the State.

This Board has been very forward-looking and supportive of our -- and we use shorthand a lot and we said EDRED, the Economic Development Readiness Program that Michael Tepper manages for us that executes a Site

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Certification Program so that consultants or corporations or realtors that are trying to identify a location for us act very quickly that the environmental and the surveys and the right of ways and all the important details of a proposed site are readily available. Michael will speak to how we've had some great success in positioning industry onto those But House Bill 724 brings a million sites. dollars per region, \$8 billion pool of funding that will be made available to regionally economic development organizations to advocate for certain improvements within their areas. So even if we have some certified sites that are really good, but advancing a waterline or some other type of improvement might help, they'll be able to advocate for those. have not yet established the rules for this This is a brand new program that's program. come forward. We will be in that rule-making process over the next 90 days.

We certainly also have the cooperative endeavor agreements that will authorize each of these eight regional economic development organizations to be the fiscal agent for these

monies to provide the pathway that they need to make application for an approval process that will run through LED. So a lot of great activities that was a primary impact for the department out of this most recent Legislative session, a very busy fall and I'm looking forward to that.

And I'll yield the balance of my time over to SSBCI. And they've also provided a great marketing tool. We've had engagements, what we call road shows, moving around the State trying to really position those small business development centers and a lot of the stake holders that are out there with the knowledge that this program is pending and will soon be executed and really does some; A, increase capacity of our Loan Guaranty Program that's managed by this Board, but also opens up some new lanes such as the Venture Capital investments that we've made in the past.

And, occasionally, you get those reports and we did a good job, I think, with having those organizations come in and giving you the status of those loan portfolios in those

Venture Capital organizations, their position

1 to maybe get the first bite of the apple when they come before you and this new money becomes available, but will also expand the 3 4 footprint to other new VC advocates that give 5 a straight path to the program. 6 So with that, I'll give it over to Kelly. 7 MS. RANEY: Thank you. 8 MR. REINE: 9 10 Can I ask you one question before you get 11 started? 12 MS. RANEY: 13 Yes, sir. 14 MR. REINE: 15 We're looking at all this infrastructure 16 money coming in. So is there some 17 coordination and you have some opinion about how it will affect economic development and be 18 19 an advantage to businesses with the increased 20 expenditures and infrastructure? 21 SECRETARY PIERSON: 22 That's a great point, Mr. Reine. 23 you for making it. And in the way that we're 24 executing against that is the Governor has formed an entire task force that meets once a 25

month, and he personally attends these meetings to review that all the agencies are making applications for available Federal resources. That includes the infrastructure dollars that you're talking about.

1

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We also have the Department of Energy that we're petitioning for funding, the Department of Defense that we've petitioned for funding, and the Economic Development Administration where we have a number of active award applications. We do have some break in activities between the various cabinet officials and the sectors of government where we do have a lot of interaction particularly from an economic development standpoint within the Department of Environmental Ouality, the Department of Natural Resources with a lot of the carbon capture and hydrogen economy elements that are being development and then, of course, roads, bridges, a lot of things that have impacts for our sites with the Department of Transportation. So we're very actively engaged on that front and coordinated with our sister sector of several state government.

1 MR. REINE: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN ROY: 3 4 Kelly from SSBCI? 5 MS. RANEY: 6 Yes, sir. Good morning. SSBCI, the 7 State Small Business Credit Initiative, just 8 to jog everybody's memory was reauthorized in 9 March of 2021 as a means to help small 10 businesses in their recovery from COVID and 11 the impacts thereafter. However, COVID began 12 for many in 2020. So prior to SSBCI being 13 reauthorized in March of 2021, the LED team 14 put together, very expeditiously, a program 15 called the Loan Portfolio Guaranty Program or 16 LPGP. It rolled out on April the 1st and was 17 allowed to take application through the end of 18 the year. I'd like to provide an update to 19 that program before we get into the SSBCI 20 updates. 21 MR. REINE: 22 What's the name of it? 23 MS. RANEY: 24 The Loan Portfolio Guaranty Program or 25 LPGP, another acronym.

MR. REINE:

Thank you.

MS. RANEY:

So that program, like I mentioned, rolled out in April of 2020. And, Mr. Georgewill, I know you probably are not familiar with that program. That program booked roughly -- not roughly, 61 loans. The balance of the overall portfolio was just over 4 million, and the program term was not to exceed five years.

Most of the loan applicants did elect for the longer term to have a lower monthly payment.

And each quarter, I do provide an update to the Loan Portfolio Guaranty Program and wanted to share where we are with that portfolio performance today as well.

So as of today from all of the participating banks, which there are five active banks sending monthly reports to us, the balance of that program is just under \$2 million. Right now, we have 17 of those original 61 loans that have completely paid off. We have 44 currently active and performing. Of those 44 loans, we do have eight that are currently past due.

1 Of those eight, there is one where I believe we may see our first default, 3 unfortunately, because the business owner has 4 passed away unexpectedly. The loan balance 5 associated with that is just over \$36,000. 6 were just notified by the banker about that. So I do expect some additional communication in the coming days for that particular loan 8 9 that is outstanding. 10 Yes, sir? 11 MR. JACKSON: 12 Do those show up in any of the financial 13 reports that we review at each meeting? 14 MS. RANEY: 15 No, sir. 16 MR. JACKSON: 17 Okay. And I assume we've got some 18 reserves against them or have we --19 MS. RANEY: 20 We -- there is non-SSBCI related funding 21 that supports the 26 percent quaranty to the 22 overall portfolio, which was our commitment. 23 So for even in math, you know, the balance is 24 \$2 million. Right now, our 20 percent

guaranty would be around 400,000 for the

25

| 1 | overall portfolio. But the 20 percent |
|----|---|
| 2 | guarantee is lender-specific to their book of |
| 3 | loans under the program at their institution. |
| 4 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 5 | Okay. So we don't have any reserves |
| 6 | internally against our guarantees like we |
| 7 | I'm thinking of the schedules |
| 8 | MS. RANEY: |
| 9 | Right. |
| 10 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 11 | that we just looked at, the SSBCI with |
| 12 | the EDAP's. We don't have a schedule for this |
| 13 | program; is that correct? |
| 14 | MS. GUESS: |
| 15 | The clarification, our original |
| 16 | information is not or it wasn't funded with |
| 17 | LEDC dollars. |
| 18 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 19 | Okay. |
| 20 | MS. GUESS: |
| 21 | That's the difference. |
| 22 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 23 | Okay. So we really don't even need the |
| 24 | update on that, okay, other than information |
| 25 | only as I appreciate. |
| | |

MS. RANEY:

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are a lot people who have asked for that update in the past, so I give that on a quarterly basis. If it's not needed, we don't have to entertain that.

MR. JACKSON:

No. No, I appreciate the information. I want to make sure I don't meddle where we're not supposed to meddle.

MS. RANEY:

Okay. I understand. But I thought it would be a good introduction for Mr. Georgewill since he was not here last year, or in 2020 rather, on the Board when we did launch that program because I think it really highlights how quickly LED took action to help small businesses in our State at the time they needed that help, which was right then and there. So what we have today is the Federal Program under the U.S. Department of Treasury, the SSBCI 2.0 program, which does offer the potential for an enormous amount of money to each state. Each state has a preliminary allocation. The Louisiana preliminary allocation is just over

\$74 million, but the potential for the State of Louisiana could be north of \$113 million depending upon how effective we are in achieving the performance metrics outline for our state. Each state has them and our state has been given their goals.

So with the Federal dollars, you'll see here the nice marketing piece that we have been circulating since mid-April originally, I think, launching at our business summit. It provides an overview of all five programs that we will roll out as soon as we get approval from the U.S. Treasury Office. And we have the Micro lending Program, the Collateral Support Program, the Loan Guaranty Program revised because that's currently an existing program. And then we also have some enhancements to our Venture Capital Program and had a dedicated Seed Program as well.

So where we are today, every state had submitted their application February the 11th this year. We now know that five states as of June have been approved. So there are still a number of applications for them to review. However, just last month towards the end of

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

June, we were contacted for the first time by the Department of Treasury and we have an outreach manager assigned to our state and our team here at LED. We have a dedicated subject matter expert for our equity programs within the U.S. Treasury Office and then one for the debt programs as well. We meet periodically. We have begun discussions to review and answer questions about our program because it's our understanding based on what we have been told by our outreach manager that the process for approval within the Department of Treasury will consist of these two representatives, one from debt, one from equity for our application, will present our programs to the SSBCI committee for formal approval.

So right now, we're having the preliminary conversations with these representatives so they have a full understanding of our intent with all of our programs. And while that is working behind the scenes, our program rules have still been underway for promulgation. The equity rules have been finalized as of last month and we are expecting, in the next week, for our debt

program rules to be finalized as well. So while we have not received final approval for our programs, there has been progress since our May meeting in the sense that the Treasury Office has made contact with us to discuss the application.

Any questions?

MR. REINE:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If my memory serves, at the last Okav. meeting, we talked about a small business program, maybe I misunderstood, but we were going to give the bank \$50,000. They were going to loan it to a small business. When they got paid back, they got to keep the \$50,000. And then it was supposed to be a revolving program that allowed them to continue. And at the end of 10 years, they were going to keep all the payments. Is that fairly accurate?

MS. RANEY:

I think there are some elements that are true to the program that you've stated.

MR. REINE:

Well, what program is that?

MS. RANEY:

The micro loan Program.

2 MR. REINE:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's the micro loan Program?

MS. RANEY:

The micro loan Program, yes, sir --

MR. REINE:

Okay.

MS. RANEY:

-- is structured to be a revolving loan fund. That's how it will operate. And the source of funds to make up that pool of money is a participation arrangement between LED and the participating lender. I should also note that the micro loan Program and those equity programs, those program participants that are able to utilize that program and help to provide that capital to small businesses, they are being selected by undergoing a request for qualification process, which is currently underway. So they are currently reviewing those applications, requesting additional information where there needs to be additional information, maybe because there was not enough detail in a marketing plan towards setting of very small businesses for example,

but that has been our approach.

But Mr. Reine, you did ask a question early on before we got started about the fees. So specific to the micro loan Program, it's actually in the Treasury guidance where all of the loan programs, they do have a fee cap. So on the dollar amount, \$25,000 or less, loans that are \$25,000 or less, the maximum fee that can be charged is \$500. For those loans that are greater than \$25,000, the Treasury has allowed up to two percent. I believe the wording is "not to exceed" is how it is printed.

MR. REINE:

Okay. So, you know, I'm -- the way I understand this, there's minimal or no risk to the bank because we're guarantying that we're going to put up the money, they're going to loan it, and they're going to get it back, and then they get to keep it. So, you know, my concern at the last meeting was, what are we doing for the small business? So I would hope that these are a reduced rate that they wouldn't be charged otherwise and there would be some consideration in the rules for an

interest rate which would be based on economics plus risks.

And if we're going to help the small businesses and banks are not at risk for the money, we ought to make sure they are minimum fees and as lowest interest rate as possible so that we're doing as much as we can to help these small businesses.

MS. RANEY:

So the guidance from the Treasury Office also does provide for rate caps and ceilings. And what we have done in all of our program rules was expand upon that to include not only the National Credit Union ceiling but the Federal Credit Union and any future state Legislation that may be enacted. The lesser of those three would be the overriding rate cap.

MR. REINE:

I guess my question at the end of the day, is this rate cap lower, is this fee cap lower than you would see on any other kind of loan where there is some risk to the bank involved?

MS. GUESS:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the answer to that question is basically what we have been looking at is the institutions, the financial institutions that will be qualified or have submitted applications are not all banks. It's targeted for our CDFI partners, the Certified Development Financial Institutions, those entities where they exist to help the small businesses and the dollars that they utilize come from various sources. So we're looking at each one of those individually. And each one of them have their own interest rate structure. And I think, right now with us having the environment that we are in right now with the fluctuations of interest rates, I know we are looking deep into the qualifications and the policies of each of these separate CDFIs.

So we're -- I think there is language in our Micro lending rules of having -- knowing where the assistance would be coming from on the loan of the money. With from the CDFI's, is that there was some consideration language to make sure that the rates are not absorbent, that they would not exceed a certain dollar

1 amount. MR. REINE: So I'll go from using the bank to the 3 lender, and it just, if this is a small 4 5 business program, how are we going to most 6 help small business? So we are making capital 7 available to the program, but this is unique and this is not a percentage of a loan 8 9 guaranty or anything. We're giving the lender 10 They're going to lend it. the money. 11 get paid back. They keep it; pretty sweet 12 deal for the lender. What are we going to do 13 for small business? 14 So are we going to say, because of this 15 unique situation, we're going to make sure the 16 fees are minimum and the interest payment back 17 is minimum because there is absolutely no risk 18 to the lender? They're not loaning their 19 They're loaning the money they got -money. 20 they get to keep. 21 MS. RANEY: 22 They are loaning 50 percent of their 23 money, sir --24 MR. REINE: 25 That's not what I understood in the last

1 one. MS. RANEY: 3 -- on each transaction. No, sir. is a dollar per dollar leverage expectation on 4 5 each and every individual transaction, whether 6 it's on the debt side or it's on the equity 7 side. And there's an overall requirement for all programs to yield a ten to one leverage 8 ratio. 9 MR. REINE: 10 11 Okay. I misunderstood that part. That's 12 why I started with, "this is what I understood 13 it to say." So we're not giving them 14 100 percent of the loan; we're giving them 15 50 percent? 16 MS. GUESS: 17 That's correct, yes, sir. MR. REINE: 18 19 But even at 50 percent, I would Okay. 20 hope that we had some kind of rules or 21 something in place that says, you know, we've 22 reduced your risk by half, pass some of that 23 along to the lender -- to the small business 24 in as low as possible fees, and as low as

possible interest rates, because as the

25

lender, I've got half the risk. And supposed to be helping small business, I mean, they're going to get 50,000, they're going to pay back 50,000; right? So where are we going to really help them?

Let's tell the lender, look, we're lowering your risk, you lower the interest rate and you lower the fees so that we can give a little extra help to the small business. That's all I'm trying to feel comfortable with.

SECRETARY PIERSON:

We can outline a lot more of the details to the program, you know, just from the strategic perspective. This is a new initiative micro lending, and it is established by Treasury. We're getting Federal funds that come to us with a lot of strings on them that we have to make application, basically, to say we're going to play by your rules. And so they're going to give us a tight shot here to operate within.

They want to see -- the eligibility requirements are going to be around companies that have not had the opportunity to get into

mainstream financing. So it's going to be very small companies. It's going to be companies that may not have great credit It's going to be a high risk scores. portfolio intentionally to meet the needs of companies that have traditionally not had access to capital. And, again, we've -- this is only a portion of the SSBCI. When we get this SSBCI money, it's going to fall out into, for lack of a better term, I'll say six buckets, but one of those buckets is for my micro lending and there will be very important qualifications to reach to these segments that have traditionally been underserved.

MR. REINE:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, look, I appreciate the access to the capital for some that might not have gotten it. But unlike a loan guaranty that says, if you don't pay, we'll step up, my understanding was if you loan -- if the lender loans 50,000, we're going to give them 25 of it. When it gets paid back, they get to keep the 25. And then they're going to put it in a revolving program. But at the end of the program, they keep the proceeds of the loan. That's a

1 little different than I've ever heard it.
2 SECRETARY PIERSON:

You're not going to get your money back because it's the money that the Federal Government gave to you, so it's going to go away, but there's never --

MR. REINE:

Okay.

SECRETARY PIERSON:

-- going to be a loss at the state level.
MR. REINE:

And I'm not objecting to that. But I think there's a windfall to the lender that's unique to that program and I think we ought to make sure that we do whatever we can to pass some of that along to the small businesses that we're trying to help. That's, like I said last time, I'm the weird one over here doing this because I ain't the business guy. But like I said, if I'm going to loan out \$50,000, you're going to give me 25 of it. The other 50 that I do, when I get it back, I get to keep the 25 that you put up, plus the 25 I put up; and at the end of the day, they keep the 25.

MR. JACKSON:

You may have bragging rights about that by the time it's all said and done. I don't think, until the program is done, that we'll know for sure. The reality is depending on if one of those loans goes bad, the half we put up goes away too.

MR. REINE:

And I'm not objecting to all of that.

I'm just saying since this is a unique program, it would greatly reduce risk to the lenders. Let's try and make sure we do as much as we can for the small business in the program, and that's all I'm trying to do. If it's a small business program, I want to see the small business get the greatest advantage we can offer them in the rules.

MR. JACKSON:

I'm not a banker, so I can't speak specifically to the risk. But quite honestly, this is the program where I'm trying to set my mind around the idea, we're probably going to see a whole lot more guaranteed payoffs because, as you've said, they're new to credit, they're credit risk, I think, is going

1 to be higher. The fact that they're having access to it is what we can bring to the table for it. I think it's probably going to be the 3 sort of thing that, by the time all is said 4 5 and done, there's going to be losses on it. 6 CHAIRMAN ROY: 7 But to Mr. Reine's --MR. REINE: 8 9 Well, we'll have a loss anyway because we 10 don't get the money back. The bank gets the 11 money. 12 MR. JACKSON: 13 Well, the state -- the loss is to the 14 State Treasury. 15 MR. REINE: 16 If the loan gets paid, they get the 17 money. If the loan doesn't get paid, we don't 18 get the money anyway. 19 SECRETARY PIERSON: 20 We put zero dollars in and we get zero 21 dollars back. 22 MR. REINE: 23 Absolutely. We're not going to lose 24 We're not going to gain nothing, but 25 the banks are the loan lenders and in position to reap a very good return on this kind of deal, and I just want a little bit of that goodness to spread to the small business that we're trying to help. That's it.

CHAIRMAN ROY:

To Mr. Reine's point, and a very good one, and I am a banker, the -- we -- are there strings attached to that money or can they just -- can the bank, the lender put it in earnings if they want to?

MS. RANEY:

There are strings attached through the term of the SSBCI Administration to include use of funds, eligibility, and reporting. And if I might also inform you that some of the applicants that have expressed interest in this program, they are larger economic development offices who have experience in lending to small businesses, specifically, disadvantaged business and they had built-in loan policies. So we are evaluating them as a potential lender as well. And in looking at some of these organizations, and some CDFIs as well, not just the EDO's that have applied, they are funded from State and Federal

sources. So if there were not for those State and Federal program funds, they may not have a loan product or loan fund to use to help the small businesses within their communities.

So I just wanted to share the additional types of lenders that we are seeing under the micro program as well. They're not all categorized under the CDFI bank or financial institution category.

CHAIRMAN ROY:

So did I hear correctly, and perhaps someone alluded that one of the strings might be that that money has to be used for future guarantees? Was there any such requirements?

MS. RANEY:

So through the term of SSBCI, in order for them to continue utilizing those funds, it has to be made to other SSBCI eligible loans. So when the loan is repaid and it goes back into that revolving loan fund, they are able to utilize those funds again to make another SSBCI eligible loan to a different small business owner. Once that loan is paid back, it will go back into the fund for that lender to make other SSBCI eligible loans and

continue throughout that 10-year term of the SSBCI Administration.

CHAIRMAN ROY:

Does that, in essence, change the amount of the guaranty as, let's say, there is no default on the first loan, does that mean that the next loan has a larger guaranty because by virtue of them going back in the pool?

MS. RANEY:

This particular program will function more like a participation. It will operate as a revolving loan fund, but it's actually a participation arrangement. So under the micro program, we're not going to provide any guarantees on those loan transactions. We're going to provide a participation amount to create a pool of funds to be used as loan proceeds to small businesses that qualify under the SSBCI criteria.

CHAIRMAN ROY:

And there's a cap on the amount of the micro program. What is that cap?

MS. RANEY:

Yes, sir, 100,000.

25 CHAIRMAN ROY:

| 1 | One hundred thousand. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. REINE: |
| 3 | Per loan? |
| 4 | MS. RANEY: |
| 5 | That's correct, sir. |
| 6 | MR. REINE: |
| | |
| 7 | And at the end of the 10 years or the |
| 8 | period, whatever the money given to the |
| 9 | lender, will then revert to their assets? |
| 10 | MS. RANEY: |
| 11 | Those details |
| 12 | MR. REINE: |
| 13 | They don't have to |
| 14 | MS. RANEY: |
| 15 | will be finalized in the loan |
| 16 | participation agreement. |
| 17 | MR. REINE: |
| 18 | Okay. Well, when we discussed it last |
| 19 | time, I had understood that if I had \$250,000, |
| 20 | 50,000 on 10, and I rotated it through, and at |
| 21 | the end of the 10-year period, they didn't |
| 22 | give the money back to anybody, it became |
| 23 | assets of the lender. Is that inaccurate? |
| 24 | MS. RANEY: |
| 25 | That is the exact idea that we are |
| | |

discussing internally, that's correct. The loan participation agreement is in draft form. It's not finalized. And so that's one of the provisions that we are fine-tuning.

MR. REINE:

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My experience in life tells me I'd rather have the conversation before they finalize it than after they finalize it. So that's why I bring it up, but I'm just trying to help the small business folks.

CHAIRMAN ROY:

And I'm not sure exactly what the Treasury parameters are in the whole thing, but perhaps a thought to caption Mr. Reine's thought would be to see that those funds are -- can only be used for a future guarantee if that's not clear. So, you know, if you made a good loan, for example, good, everyone benefits. The amount that you can receive a guaranty for in the future, or participation for, I guess, would be larger because it would -- that would be put into that lender's pool and they could make more loans. I don't I'm just -- this is a very general framework of concept I'm throwing out.

not sure how that measures with the Treasury's rules, but so they would be rewarded for good lending and that amount that was previously lent would be -- would roll over into new larger participation type loans, if that makes sense?

MS. RANEY:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It does and it falls in line with one of the concepts we have been discussing behind the scenes in term of the disbursement of those funds. So the Treasury is going to provide three tranches of funding to the state jurisdictions. So we intend to uphold those same requirements to the program participants when it comes to compliance certifications reporting as well as disbursements of funding. So we are trying to put the structure in place, which is something that will be also included in that participation agreement to where these program participants under the micro program, and quite frankly, all of our programs, would be an incentive and measured on their penetration to very small businesses and same business owner types just as the state jurisdictions will be because that's

1 what will determine if we get the extra \$40 million. 3 CHAIRMAN ROY: 4 I agree with Mr. Reine, it would just be 5 nice. I mean, you just don't want to -- it 6 shouldn't go to the bottom line, put it into a 7 tank and do what we are going to do. 8 they can roll it back and put some strings on them, I think that is what Mr. Reine is 9 10 saying, I agree. 11 MS. RANEY: 12 Yes, sir. 13 MS. GUESS: 14 Those are good thoughts. I appreciate And as Kelly said, we're in the 15 the input. 16 process of negotiating our discussions 17 internally to develop that participation 18 agreement. And we'll see, we'll take that 19 under advisement as we prepare the documents. 20 CHAIRMAN ROY: 21 Very good. Ms. Kelly, were you finished? 22 MS. RANEY: 23 Yes, sir. Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN ROY: 25 You were? Okay. Very good. Any -- at

| 1 | the risk of beating up Ms. Kelly any more, any |
|----|--|
| 2 | other questions or comments? |
| 3 | Thank you so much. Any comments from the |
| 4 | public? |
| 5 | Hearing none, do we have any other |
| 6 | business? |
| 7 | We'll entertain a motion to adjourn. |
| 8 | MR. JACKSON: |
| 9 | Motion to adjourn. |
| 10 | MR. SIMPSON: |
| 11 | Second. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN ROY: |
| 13 | It looks like we're adjourned. Thank you |
| 14 | for coming. |
| 15 | (ENDING AT 10:42 A.M.) |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, KELLY S. PERRIN, a Certified Court
Reporter, Certificate #23035, in good standing with
the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom
this meeting was taken, do hereby certify that the
foregoing 75 pages;

That this testimony was reported by me in stenographic machine shorthand by Computer-Aided Transcription, transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;

That the transcript has been prepared in compliance with transcript format guidelines required by statute or by rules of the board, that I have acted in compliance with the prohibition on contractual relationships, as defined by Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure Article 1434 and in rules and advisory opinions of the board; that I am not of counsel nor related to any person participating in this cause and am in no way interested in the outcome of this event.

2.2

This certification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my handwritten or digital signature and the image of my State-authorized seal on this page. Signed: KELLY S. PERRIN, CCR